Applicant and Project Name: Click here to enter text.

Rater Name: Click here to enter text. Date Reviewed: Click or tap to enter a date.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Quality Requirements** |  |
| Renewal projects must ensure they continue to meet HUD thresholds for funding.  | Maximum Score Possible | PSH – 125RRH - 95 |
| Total Project Score |  |
| Minimum Threshold Score | PSH – 87RRH – 66.5  |

*Please note at the numbered questions on the application correspond with the numbered scoring areas below.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section I: Project Effectiveness** | Possible Points: **PSH – 70****RRH – 40** Possible Deductions:-5 | Section Score: |
| 3. How many beds are dedicated/prioritized for priority population(s)? |
| Veterans | Prioritized by Ranking Tool |
| Chronic |
| Domestic Violence |
| Youth |
| Families |
| 4. Does the project qualify as low barrier? |
| Yes | 4 |  |
| No | 0 |
| 5. Does the project meet all Housing First criteria?  |
| Yes | 6 |  |
| No | 0 |
| 6. Did the project take 100% of all referrals from Coordinated Entry in the past grant year?  |
| Yes | 5 |  |
| No | 0 |
| 7. Prioritization and Referral Process |
| Proof of Prioritization and Referral Process | 5 |  |
| No Information Provided | 0 |
| Efficient Use of Funding:  |
| 8. What is the project’s utilization rate? |
| 95% or higher | 5 |  |
| 80%-94% | 0 |  |
| 79% or lower | -5 |  |
| 9. Percent of funding recaptured in last completed grant year |
|  a. Amount authorized in most recent completed HUD grant year |
|  b. Remaining balance in eLOCCS |
| 7% or less | 5 |  |
| 8% or more  | 0 |
| 10. Were drawdowns made at least quarterly? |
| Yes | 5 |  |
| No | 0 |
| HMIS Participation |
| 11. Percentage of APR Data Quality Elements (6a.-6d.) with 5% or less null or missing values |
| 85% or greater | 5 |  |
| Less than 84% | 0 |
| HUD Monitoring Findings |
| 12. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency’s projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards.  | Standard Met:Yes/No |  |
| Impact on Homelessness |
| 13. Is this project the only CoC funded project with dedicated beds to a particular target population? (Answered by Project Evaluation based on all applications submitted for this NOFA.) |
| Yes |  | Not ScoredTaken into consideration in a tie score situation |
| No |  |
| 14. Would additional funds be accepted? |
| Yes |  | Not Scored |
| No |  |
| Serving High Need Populations **– PSH ONLY** |
| 15. What percentage of the households met “hard to serve” criteria defined as having zero income at Start/entry? (APR 23) |
| 80% or more | 10 |  |
| 70-%79%  | 8 |
| 50%-69% | 5 |
| Less than 50% | 0 |
| 16. What percentage of participants met “hard to serve” criteria defined as having two or more physical or mental health conditions known at Start/entry? (APR 13.A.2) |
| More than 50%  | 10 |  |
| 30%-49% | 8 |
| 10%-29% | 5 |
| Less than 10% | 0 |  |
| 17. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (APR Q26a.) |
| 80% or more | 10 |  |
| 70-%79%  | 8 |
| 50%-69% | 5 |
| Less than 50% | 0 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section II: Project Performance** | Possible Points: 55  | Section Score: |
| Performance Data |
| 18. Length of Stay |
|  PSH—Percentage of leavers that remained in project more than 180 days (APR 22a.1) |
| 95% and more  | 20 |  |
| 85%-94% | 15 |
| 75%-84% | 10 |
| 65%-74% | 5 |
| 55%-64% | 0 |
|  RRH—Percentage of participants that took 30 days or less from project entry to lease up (CAPER 22C) |
| 80%-100% | 20 |  |
| 60%-79% | 15 |
| 40%-59% | 10 |
| 20%-39% | 5 |
| 0%-19% | 0 |
| 19. Exits to Permanent Housing  |
|  PSH - Percent who remain in or move to PH |
| 95% or higher | 25 |  |
| 90%-94% | 20 |
| 85%-89% | 15 |
| 80%-84% | 10 |
| 75%-79% | 5 |
| Less than 75% | 0 |
|  RRH - Percent who exit to PH |
| 95% or higher | 25 |  |
| 90%-94% | 20 |
| 85%-89% | 15 |
| 80%-84% | 10 |
| 75%-79% | 5 |
| Less than 75% | 0 |
| 20. New or Increased Income and Earned Income |
| a. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1) |
| 8% and higher | 2.5 |  |
| 5%-7% | 1.5 |
| Less than 5% | 0 |
| b. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1) |
| 50% and higher | 2.5 |  |
| 25%-49% | 1.5 |
| Less than 25% | 0 |
| c. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2) |
| 8% and higher | 2.5 |  |
| 5%-7% | 1.5 |
| Less than 5% | 0 |
| c. RRH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2) |
| 30% and higher | 5 |  |
| 20%-29% | 2.5 |
| Less than 20% | 0 |
| d. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2) |
| 50% and higher | 2.5 |  |
| 25%-49% | 1.5 |
| Less than 25% | 0 |
| d. RRH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2) |
| 10% and higher | 5 |  |
| 5%-9% | 2.5 |
| Less than 5% | 0 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section III: Completion of Application** | Possible Points: 0 Deductions: -10Minimum: -5 | Section Score: |
| 21. Is the application complete and accurate?  |
| Yes | 0 |  |
| No | -5 |
| 22. Are all required attachments provided? |
| Yes | 0 |  |
| No | -5 |